



TOMBIGBEE RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN

(Including the Subbasins of the Lower and Upper Tombigbee Rivers as they flow through the State of Alabama)


DRAFT

Alabama Clean Water Partnership
Montgomery, Alabama

June 15, 2005



Prepared by:



TOMBIGBEE RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN

(Including the Subbasins of the Lower and Upper Tombigbee Rivers
as they flow through the State of Alabama)

DRAFT

ALABAMA CLEAN WATER PARTNERSHIP
MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA

June 15, 2005

Prepared by:

Kleinschmidt
Energy & Water Resource Consultants

TOMBIGBEE RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	vii
COMMONLY USED ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	xx
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.0 BASIN MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE TOMBIGBEE RIVER	2-1
2.1 Scope of the Tombigbee River Basin Management Plan	2-2
2.2 Alabama Clean Water Partnership (ACWP).....	2-2
2.3 Alabama-Tombigbee CWP Steering Committee.....	2-3
2.4 Alabama and Tombigbee River Subbasin Stakeholder Groups and their Participation in the Planning Process.....	2-4
3.0 PHYSICAL GEOGRAPHY OF THE TOMBIGBEE RIVER BASIN	3-1
3.1 Basins and Watersheds of the Tombigbee River	3-2
3.2 Dams and their Impoundments in the Tombigbee River Basin.....	3-3
3.3 Climate.....	3-3
3.4 Geology.....	3-4
3.5 Soils.....	3-6
3.6 Hydrogeology	3-7
3.7 Ecological Components of the Mobile and Tombigbee River Basins.....	3-9
4.0 PEOPLE AND THE TOMBIGBEE RIVER BASIN.....	4-1
4.1 Population in the Tombigbee River Basin.....	4-1
4.2 The Economy of the Tombigbee River Basin.....	4-3
4.2.1 Major Natural-Resource-based Industries	4-3
4.2.2 The “Black Belt” Region	4-6
4.3 Municipalities within the Tombigbee River Basin.....	4-7
4.4 Regional Authorities.....	4-9
4.5 State and Federal Organizations	4-9
5.0 WATER QUALITY IN THE TOMBIGBEE RIVER BASIN	5-1
5.1 Water Quality Monitoring and Data Sources.....	5-1
5.1.1 Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessments	5-3
5.1.2 Fish Tissue Monitoring.....	5-3
5.1.3 National Water-Quality Assessment Program (NAWQA).....	5-4
5.1.4 Alabama Water Watch.....	5-7
5.2 Water Quality in the Tombigbee River Basin.....	5-8
5.3 Water Use Classifications for the Lower and Upper Tombigbee River Subbasins	5-11
5.4 Priority Water Quality Problems in the Tombigbee River Basin – 303d List of Impaired Waters and TMDLs.....	5-11
5.4.1 Summary of Draft Decision Document (TMDL) for the Olin Basin	5-13

Table of Contents (Cont'd)

5.4.2	Draft 2004 303d List of Impaired Waters.....	5-14
5.4.3	Management of the Tombigbee River Basin in the State of Mississippi	5-14
6.0	BASIN MANAGEMENT NEEDS.....	6-1
6.1	Stakeholder Concerns.	6-2
6.2	Nonpoint Source Pollution Impairment Potential for Subwatersheds.....	6-4
6.3	Resource Concerns for Subwatersheds:.....	6-8
6.4	Sediment Loading Estimates.....	6-9
6.5	Summary of Management Needs.....	6-14
6.6	Targeted Subwatersheds	6-15
6.7	Sediment Load Modeling of Targeted Subwatersheds	6-18
6.8	baseline for subsequent modeling of sediment and nutrient load reductions with BMP implementation.....	6-21
6.9	BMP Load Reductions for Targeted Subwatersheds.....	6-21
7.0	RIVER BASIN MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS.....	7-1
7.1	Basin Management Goals and the Concerns / Issues they Address.....	7-1
	GOAL: Reduce nonpoint source pollution from agricultural activities cropland, pastureland, and animal husbandry.....	7-3
	GOAL: Reduce nonpoint source pollution from forestry activities -	7-16
	GOAL: Reduce nonpoint source pollution from aquaculture operations.	7-25
	GOAL: Reduce nonpoint source pollution from roads, road banks, and new road construction.....	7-28
	GOAL: Reduce pollution from urban and residential areas.....	7-31
	GOAL: Reduce nonpoint source pollution from mining activities.....	7-37
	GOAL: Protect and restore aquatic habitat and aquatic species diversity, with a focus on fish and mussel species in Alabama and Mississippi.	7-39
	GOAL: Decrease water quality impacts such as bank erosion, littering, and chemical pollution by increasing boater awareness and improving river recreation management.....	7-42
	GOAL: Promote resource education and outreach, and watershed awareness of issues in the river basin. Promote volunteer activities throughout the watershed.	7-43
	GOAL: Promote watershed management technology transfer across industries and across Alabama and Mississippi. Coordinate watershed planning, restoration and conservation efforts between Alabama and Mississippi.	7-45
	GOAL: Continue to track resource trends in the river basin to measure progress in restoration and protection efforts, and identify new resource concerns and issues.	7-47
	GOAL: Develop a framework in the river basin to implement the projects and tasks in this plan.....	7-49
8.0	IMPLEMENTATION.....	8-1
8.1	Strategic Next Steps for Basin Management Plan Implementation.....	8-1
8.2	Sources of Funding	8-3

9.0 REFERENCES 9-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Summary of Water Quality Regulations and Management Authorities 1-3

Table 3.2 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Dams in the Tombigbee River Basin..... 3-4

Table 3.4a. The geology of the Tombigbee River basin..... 3-5

Table 3.4b Major production of minerals in selected counties in the Tombigbee River Basin (Geological Survey of Alabama/U.S. Geological Survey, 2003). 3-6

Table 3.7a. Physical Characteristics of the Ecoregions of the Tombigbee River Basin — Southeastern Plains Level III Ecoregion (EPA, 2001). 3-10

Table 3.7b Imperiled species with reported occurrences in the Tombigbee River basin. ... 3-14

Table 3.7c: Summary of Critical Habitats for 9 Threatened and Endangered Species of Freshwater Mussels within the Tombigbee River Basin 3-15

Table 4.1a Population Data and Median Income for the Alabama Counties in the Lower Tombigbee River Basin 4-2

Table 4.1b. Population Data and Median Income for the Alabama Counties of the Upper Tombigbee River Basin 4-2

Table 4.3a Wood Use Percentage for the Counties of the Lower and Upper Tombigbee River Basins 4-4

Table 4.3b Summary Forest Statistics for the Tombigbee Basin..... 4-5

Table 4.3.1a Municipalities within the Upper Tombigbee River basin in Alabama 4-7

Table 4.3b Municipalities within the Lower Tombigbee River basin in Alabama..... 4-8

Table 5.1. Important Sources of Water Quality Data for the Tombigbee River Basin..... 5-2

Table 5.1.4a. Major USGS Publications pertinent to the Tombigbee River Basin 5-5

Table 5.1.4 Major findings related to the water quality of the Mobile River Basin, including the Alabama and Tombigbee Rivers..... 5-6

Table 5.1.2. Summary of Alabama Water Watch Monitoring Activity in the Tombigbee River Basin, 1994 – 2005..... 5-8

Table 5.2. Water Quality Categorization for the State of Alabama..... 5-9

Table 5.2a. Summary of Categorized Waters in the Tombigbee River Basin..... 5-10

Table 5.4a. Impaired Waters of the Tombigbee River Basin according to the 2002 Alabama 303(d) List 5-12

Table 5.4b Waterbodies in the Tombigbee River Basin added to the 2002 303(d) List for 2004..... 5-15

Table 5.4.3a Tombigbee River Basin Management Schedule of the Watershed Management Branch of the MSDEQ 5-16

Table 5.4.3b Stream Segments within the Tombigbee River Basin that the MSDEQ has an Approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) – Section D of the 2004 303d List 5-18

Table 6.1. Nonpoint source pollution potential in the Tombigbee River Basin and its two subbasins. Percentages reflect the proportion of the total NPS impairment score for the basin attributable to each of the seven rural land use impairment sources. The top five subwatersheds were ordered within similar rating groups by subwatershed size.¹ 6-7

Table 6.2. Resource concerns in the Tombigbee River Basin and its two basin segments. Percentages reflect the number of subwatersheds in the basin in

Table of Contents (Cont'd)

	which the resource concern was considered significant. Source of data: ADEM (2003).	6-9
Table 6.3.	Sediment loading from various sources in the Tombigbee River Basin and its two subbasins ¹	6-12
Table 6.3.	Sediment loading from various sources in the Tombigbee River Basin and its two subbasins ¹ (cont'd).....	6-12
Table 6.3.	Sediment loading from various sources in the Tombigbee River Basin and its two subbasins ¹ (cont'd).....	6-13
Table 6.4.	Subwatersheds in the Tombigbee River Basin with the highest total sediment loading estimates from all erosion sources. Sediment loading estimates are in tons per year. Source of data: Alabama Soil and Water Conservation Districts, published by the SWCC.....	6-13
Table 6.5.	Target subwatersheds in the Tombigbee River Basin. These subwatersheds were identified as either having a high NPS Impairment Potential, being an ADEM Priority Subwatershed, or having a 303(d) impaired water in the subwatershed. Source of data: ADEM (2003).	6-16
Table 6.5.	Target subwatersheds in the Tombigbee River Basin. These subwatersheds were identified as either having a high NPS Impairment Potential, being an ADEM Priority Subwatershed, or having a 303(d) impaired water in the subwatershed. Source of data: ADEM (2003). (cont'd).....	6-17
Table 6.6.	Sediment load estimates for targeted subwatersheds in the Tombigbee River Basin for cropland, pastureland, and forested land. Comparison of load estimates are made between those made by the Soil and Water Conservation Districts in 1998 and those derived from STEPL modeling as part of this plan.....	6-19
Table 6.6.	Sediment load estimates for targeted subwatersheds in the Tombigbee River Basin for cropland, pastureland, and forested land. Comparison of load estimates are made between those made by the Soil and Water Conservation Districts in 1998 and those derived from STEPL modeling as part of this plan (cont'd).....	6-20
Table 7.1a.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Filter Strips" agricultural BMPs for cropland. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from cropland are listed below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-10
Table 7.1b.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Reduced Tillage" agricultural BMPs for cropland. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from cropland are listed below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-11
Table 7.1c.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Streambank Stabilization and Fencing" agricultural BMPs for cropland. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from cropland are listed below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-12
Table 7.1d.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Terraces" agricultural BMPs for cropland. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from cropland are listed	

	below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-13
Table 7.1e.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Streambank Stabilization and Fencing" agricultural BMPs for pastureland. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from pastureland are listed below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-14
Table 7.1f.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Terraces" agricultural BMPs for pastureland. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from pastureland are listed below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-15
Table 7.1g.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Site Preparation/Steep Slope Seeder/Transplant" forestry BMPs for forested land. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from forested land are listed below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-22
Table 7.1h.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Site Preparation/Straw/Crimp Seed/Fertilizer/Transplant" forestry BMPs for forested land. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from forested land are listed below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-23
Table 7.1i.	Expected reductions in sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus loading with implementation of "Site Preparation/Straw/ Net/Seed/Fertilizer/Transplant" forestry BMPs for forested land. The subwatersheds with the highest sediment loading from forested land are listed below. Other subwatershed load reduction modeling results are provided in Appendix F.....	7-24

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.3.	Stakeholder Input Opportunities for the Basin Management Plans.....	2-6
Figure 4.3	Regional Planning Councils of Alabama (Image credit to AARC, 2005).....	4-9
Figure 6.1-a.	Nonpoint source pollution potential in the Tombigbee River Basin. Percentages reflect the proportion of the total impairment score for the Tombigbee River Basin that is attributed to each rural land use impairment source. Source of data: ADEM (2003).	6-5
Figure 6.1-b.	Nonpoint source pollution potential in the Upper Tombigbee River Basin. Percentages reflect the proportion of the total impairment score for the Upper Basin that is attributed to each rural land use impairment source.	6-5
Figure 6.1-c.	Nonpoint source pollution potential in the Lower Tombigbee River Basin. Percentages reflect the proportion of the total impairment score for the Lower Basin that is attributed to each rural land use impairment source.	6-5
Figure 6.2-a.	Sediment loading estimates for the Tombigbee River Basin. Percentages reflect the proportion of the total sediment loading for the Tombigbee River Basin that is attributed to each source of sediment erosion. Source of data: ADEM (2003).	6-10

Table of Contents (Cont'd)

Figure 6.2-b. Sediment loading estimates for the Upper Tombigbee River Basin. Percentages reflect the proportion of the total sediment loading for the Upper Tombigbee River Basin that is attributed to each source of sediment erosion..... 6-10

Figure 6.2-c. Sediment loading estimates for the Lower Tombigbee River Basin. Percentages reflect the proportion of the total sediment loading for the Lower Tombigbee River Basin that is attributed to each source of sediment erosion..... 6-10

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A – Sub-Watersheds (HUC 11) of the Tombigbee River Basin A-1

Appendix B – Use Classifications for the waters of the Lower and Upper Tombigbee River Subbasins B-1

Appendix C - Potential for Nonpoint Source Pollution Impairment of Streams in the Tombigbee River Basin C-1

Appendix D - Resource Concerns in the Tombigbee River Basin D-1

Appendix E - Sediment Loading Estimates for Subwatersheds E-1

Appendix F - Loading and BMP Load Reduction Results for the Priority Subwatersheds F-1

LIST OF MAPS

Map 1 - Tombigbee Overview

Map 2 - Current Landuse of the Upper Tombigbee Sub Basin

Map 3 - Current Landuse of the Lower Tombigbee Sub Basin

Map 4 - Upper Tombigbee River Sub Basin Data Collection Points 1990 - 2002

Map 5 - Lower Tombigbee River Sub Basin Data Collection Points 1990 - 2002

Map 6 - Impaired Waters of the Upper Tombigbee Sub Basin

Map 7 - Impaired Waters of the Lower Tombigbee Sub Basin

Map 8 - Target Subwatersheds Upper Tombigbee Sub Basin In Alabama

Map 9 - Target Subwatersheds Lower Tombigbee Sub Basin In Alabama

Map 10 – 2001 Map of Use Classifications for the Upper Tombigbee Sub Basin

Map 11 – 2001 Map of Use Classification for the Lower Tombigbee Sub Basin